# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/051,478 01/16/2002 10012290-1 Wayne A. Tangen 1404 7590 07/29/2004 **EXAMINER** HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY VU, TRISHA U Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT P.O. Box 272400 PAPER NUMBER

> 2112 DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A = = 1! = = A! = = A! =	Annline H
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,478	TANGEN, WAYNE A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Trisha U. Vu	2112
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  ays will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	lanuary 2002.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	≏r	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2002 is/are		ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receivu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20020116</u>.</li> </ol>	5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2112

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 01-16-02 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered:

Please provide a copy of the following reference since the website cannot be found:

The Electronic Pages; created by Sven Rymenants; I2C-Bus – Features;

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/9540/mic b000.htm; 4/11/2001; pgs 1-3

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the power-up pull **sources** includes" (lines 1-2 of the claim) should be changed to "the power-up pull **source** includes".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2112

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

Claim 4 recites the limitations "the switch" in line 2, "the source voltage" in line 3, and "the common voltage" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simpson et al. (6,301,623) (hereinafter Simpson).

As to claim 1, Simpson teaches a serial bus expansion circuit, comprising: a bus distribution circuit (MUX 25) selectively coupling a serial bus (SDA) to one of a number of serial bus outputs (Figs. 4-5); a distribution controller (39) having a control output coupled to a control input of the bus distribution circuit (Fig. 4); and a number of power-up pull resistors coupling each of the serial bus outputs to a power-up pull source (Fig. 4 and col. 1-64).

Art Unit: 2112

As to claim 3, Simpson further teaches the bus distribution circuit is a multiplexer (MUX 25) (Fig. 4).

Page 4

As to claim 7, Simpson further teaches a serial bus input (via input 53) in the distribution controller configured for coupling to the serial bus; and state circuitry (device register 55) in the distribution controller, the state circuitry generating a control signal that is applied to the control input of the bus distribution circuit in response to a selection message received via the serial bus, the selection message being addressed to the distribution controller (col. 5, lines 23-45).

As to claim 8, Simpson further teaches the state circuitry generates the control signal in response to a selection payload in the selection message (col. 5, lines 23-45).

As to claim 15, Simpson teaches serial bus expansion method, comprising: providing a bus distribution circuit (MUX 25) to selectively couple a serial bus (SDA) to one of a number of serial bus outputs (Figs. 4-5); determining a select one of the serial bus outputs to which the serial bus is to be coupled (using selection circuit 39); controlling the bus distribution circuit to couple the serial bus to the select one of the serial bus outputs; and providing a number of power-up pull resistors that couple each of the serial bus outputs to a power-up pull source (Fig. 4 and col. 1-64).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2112

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2, 4-6, 9-14, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Simpson et al. (6,301,623) (hereinafter Simpson) in view of The I2C Specification, Version 2.1, January 2000 (hereinafter I2C Spec)

As to claim 2, the argument above for claim 1 applies. However, Simpson does not explicitly disclose a resistance of each of the power-up pull resistors is greater than a resistance of at least one external pull resistor coupled to the serial bus, thereby allowing an external pull source to override the power-up pull source. I2C Spec teaches a resistance of each of the power-up pull resistors is greater than a resistance of at least one external pull resistor coupled to the serial bus (note at least Sections 13.1-2, 17.2, and 17.4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a resistance of each of the power-up pull resistors is greater than a resistance of at least one external pull resistor coupled to the serial bus as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to allow the external pull resistor to pull the SDA line to a high voltage (Section 13.2).

As to claims 4-5, the argument above for claim 1 applies. However, Simpson does not explicitly disclose the power-up pull source includes a state circuit that sequentially switches in a first state coupling a source voltage to the power-up pull resistors and in a second state coupling a common voltage to the power-up pull resistors in response to a system power-up condition. I2C Spec teaches a includes a state circuit that sequentially switches in a first state coupling a source voltage to the power-up pull resistors and in a second state coupling a common voltage to the power-up pull resistors

Art Unit: 2112

in response to a system power-up condition (e.g. START and STOP conditions) (note at least Section 6.2 and Table 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a state circuit that sequentially switches in a first state coupling a source voltage to the power-up pull resistors and in a second state coupling a common voltage to the power-up pull resistors in response to a system power-up condition as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to indicate a start condition.

As to claim 6, I2C Spec further teaches the switch alternatively couples each of the power-up pull resistors to a source voltage and a common voltage (note at least Section 6.2 and Table 4).

As to claim 9, the argument above for claim 1 applies. However, Simpson does not explicitly disclose an acknowledge bit in the selection message wherein the state circuitry applies the control signal to the control input of the bus distribution circuit upon an occurrence of the acknowledge bit. I2C Spec teaches acknowledge bit (at least Sections 7.1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an acknowledge bit in the selection message wherein the state circuitry applies the control signal to the control input of the bus distribution circuit upon an occurrence of the acknowledge bit as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to let the receiver to transmit an acknowledgement and thus ensure that the transferred data has been received by the receiver and the control has been applied to the bus distribution circuit concurrently (Section 7.2).

Art Unit: 2112

As to claim 10, Simpson teaches a system for serial bus expansion, comprising: means (39 and associated circuitry) for selecting one of a number of devices (15) to be coupled to a serial bus (SDA), wherein each of the devices is capable of communicating on the serial bus; means (MUX 25) for selectively coupling the serial bus to one of the number of devices (Figs. 4-5). However, Simpson does not explicitly disclose means for sequentially pulling a voltage potential of each of a number of serial bus inputs of the respective devices to a predefined source voltage potential and then to a predefined common voltage potential upon an occurrence of a system power-up condition. I2C Spec teaches means for sequentially pulling a voltage potential of each of a number of serial bus inputs of the respective devices to a predefined source voltage potential and then to a predefined common voltage potential upon an occurrence of a system power-up condition (high to low) (note at least Section 6.2 and Table 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to means for sequentially pulling a voltage potential of each of a number of serial bus inputs of the respective devices to a predefined source voltage potential and then to a predefined common voltage potential upon an occurrence of a system power-up condition as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to indicate a start condition.

As to claim 11, Simpson further teaches a number of power-up pull resistors coupling each of the serial bus inputs to a power-up pull source (Fig. 4).

As to claim 12, Simpson I2C Spec further teaches the power-up pull source is a switch that toggles between the predefined voltage source and the predefined common voltage source (note at least Section 6.2 and Table 4).

Art Unit: 2112

As to claim 13, Simpson further teaches the means for selecting one of the number of devices to be coupled to the serial bus further comprises means for generating a control signal based upon a selection message received via the serial bus and for applying the control signal to the means for selectively coupling the serial bus to one of the number of devices to direct a coupling of the one of the devices to the serial bus (col. 5, lines 23-45).

As to claim 14, Simpson does not explicitly disclose an acknowledge bit in the selection message wherein means for applying the control signal applies the control signal during an occurrence of the acknowledge bit. I2C Spec teaches acknowledge bit (at least Sections 7.1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an acknowledge bit in the selection message wherein means for applying the control signal applies the control signal during an occurrence of the acknowledge bit as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to let the receiver to transmit an acknowledgement and thus ensure that the transferred data has been received by the receiver and the control has been applied to the means for coupling concurrently (Section 7.2).

As to claim 16, the argument above for claim 15 applies. However, Simpson does not explicitly disclose providing for a resistance of each of the power-up pull resistors that is greater than a resistance of at least one external pull resistor coupled to the serial bus, thereby allowing an external pull source to override the power-up pull source. I2C Spec teaches providing for a resistance of each of the power-up pull resistors that is greater than a resistance of at least one external pull resistor coupled to the serial bus

Art Unit: 2112

(note at least Sections 13.1-2, 17.2, and 17.4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for a resistance of each of the power-up pull resistors that is greater than a resistance of at least one external pull resistor coupled to the serial bus as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to allow the external pull resistor to pull the SDA line to a high voltage (Section 13.2).

As to claim 17, Simpson does not explicitly disclose alternatively coupling each of the power-up pull resistors to a source voltage and a common voltage. I2C Spec further teaches alternatively coupling each of the power-up pull resistors to a source voltage and a common voltage (note at least Section 6.2 and Table 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alternatively couple each of the power-up pull resistors to a source voltage and a common voltage as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to indicate a start condition (Section 6.2).

As to claim 18, Simpson further teaches coupling each of the power-up pull resistors to the source voltage in response to a system power-up condition; and subsequently coupling each of the power-up pull resistors to the common voltage (high to low) (note at least Section 6.2 and Table 4).

As to claim 19, the argument above for claim 15 applies. However, Simpson does not explicitly disclose an acknowledge bit in a selection message wherein a control signal is applied to a control input of the bus distribution circuit concurrently with an occurrence of the acknowledge bit. I2C Spec teaches acknowledge bit (at least Sections 7.1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2112

made to include an acknowledge bit in a selection message wherein a control signal is applied to a control input of the bus distribution circuit concurrently with an occurrence of the acknowledge bit as taught by I2C Spec in the system of Simpson to ensure that the transferred data has been received by the receiver and the control has been applied to the bus distribution circuit concurrently (Section 7.2).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, as the art discloses bus expansion system and/or I2C communication:

US Patent	6,092,138	Schutte
US Patent	6,622,188	Goodwin et al.
US Patent	6,233,635	Son
US Patent	5,526,497	Zilka et al.
US Patent	6,438,624	Ku et al.
US Patent	6,339,806	Foster, Sr. et al.
US Patent	6,253,268	Bjorkengren et al.
US Pub.	2001/0029554	Namba

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trisha U. Vu whose telephone number is 703-305-5959. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternate Fri from 7:00am to 4:30pm.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/051,478

Art Unit: 2112

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 703-305-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trisha U. Vu Examiner Art Unit 2112

uv

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ PRIMARY EXAMINER